PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH PROJECTS IN 2014 - PUBLIC CALL IN 2013

REVIEWER'S REPORT Phase I

for the candidate for the project leader from Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2014 - public call in 2013.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number:	
Title of the research project:	
Project leader:	
Research organization:	
Type of the project:	
Scientific discipline / research field:	

Reviewer:

Date:

(Signature)

B. Evaluation elements of the scientific quality of the candidate for a project leader Grade B

1.	Exceptional research achievements of the project leader	B1	
	Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)		
Me	aning of points:		
	world top level / vital for Slovenia, innovation	4 - 5	
	 near the world top level / high national relevance 	3 - 4	
	 internationally and nationally relevant 	2 - 3	
	average / less relevant	1 - 2	
	not achieving basic standards	0 - 1	

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2013-I (Item 16, additionally item 17)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1⁻¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

2.	Exceptional socioeconomic and culturally relevant achievements	ВЭ	
	of the project leader	DZ	

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

•	top-level project	4 - 5
•	good quality project	2 - 4
٠	less than good quality project	0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2013-I (Item 17)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2²:

3.Research quality of the projectB3	
-------------------------------------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Mean	ing of points:	
•	top-level project	4 - 5
•	good quality project	2 - 4
•	less than good quality project	0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2013-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3 ³:

 $^{^{2}}$ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

³ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

4.	Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed	B4	
	project	D4	

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

•	top-level project	4 - 5
٠	good quality project	2 - 4
•	less than good quality project	0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2013-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B4⁴:

5. Feas	sibility of the proposed project	B5	
---------	----------------------------------	----	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

•	top-level project	4 - 5
•	good quality project	2 - 4
•	less than good quality project	0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2013-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B5⁵:

 $[\]frac{4}{2}$ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

⁵ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.