## Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

# Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2020

#### A. REVIEWER

Role	

#### **B. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdiciplinary research	
Scientific discipline / research field	
Additional scientific discipline / research field	

## C. INTRODUCTION

#### **Award criteria**

- 1: Scientific excellence of researchers BA1
- 2: Scientific, technological or innovation excellence BA2
- 3: Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results of research BA3
- 4: Quality and efficiency of implementation and management BA4

#### **Scores and Thresholds**

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0,0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal can not be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

- The individual threshold is 3.
- The overall threshold is 12.

### Interpretation of the scores:

interpretation of the scores	
0,0	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due
	to missing or incomplete information,
1,0 - 1,9 (Poor)	The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
2,0 - 2,9 (Fair)	The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant
2,0 - 2,9 (1 all )	weaknesses,
3,0 - 3,9 (Good)	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,

4,0 - 4,6 (Very good) The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of

shortcomings are present,

ent) The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the

**4,7 - 5,0 (Excellent)** The proposal successfully addresses a criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

#### D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific	excellence c	of researchers	- RA1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Outstanding achievements
- Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking
- Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research

Data source:  ◆ Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2019
Score 1:
select score Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.
A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

#### 2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BA2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives
- Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives
- Ambitious, innovation potential and the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches)

#### Data source:

research - BA3

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2019

Score 2:	
select score	Threshold for final score: 3,0 points

must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and

3. Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results o	1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets
- All other environmental and socially significant impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in other indicators)
- Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results

(including management of intellectual property rights), to communicate the project, and to manage research data Expected effects of the project • Strengthening innovation and incorporation of new knowledge • Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2019 Score 3: select score Threshold for final score: 3,0 points. A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory). 4. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BA4 The following aspects will be taken into account: • The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources Relevance of the project partners and the project team The adequacy of the management regarding the risks and overcoming existing knowledge Data source: • Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2019 Score 4: Threshold for final score: 3,0 points. select score A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

Total score: 12 points.  I confirm that I have read, understood and accepted "Statement on a conflict interest and confidentiality" established in Guidelines on proposal evaluation solvenian research Agency related to the performance of evaluation tasks and declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the "Statement conflict of interest and confidentiality" form.	sent by d I : of

Obrazec: ARRS-RPROJ-EvalFormA-2019-P v1.00 -

Date: